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**MOTION TO INTERVENE**

**BY**

**THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS’ COUNSEL**

The Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel (“OCC”) moves to intervene in this case where the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“PUCO”) will determine whether Ohio Power Company’s 2014 earnings were so excessive as to require a refund to its customers, including the 1.2 million residential customers OCC represents. The reasons the PUCO should grant OCC’s Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.
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## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of the approximately 1.2 million Ohio Power residential utility customers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911. R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person “who may be adversely affected” by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio’s residential customers may be “adversely affected” by this case, especially if Ohio Power’s residential customers were unrepresented in a proceeding where the PUCO will be examining whether customers are entitled to a refund. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

(1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor’s interest;

(2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

(3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and

(4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC’s interest is representing Ohio Power’s residential customers in this case involving a review of Ohio Power’s 2014 earnings to determine if they are so excessive as to warrant a refund to customers. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility, whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC’s advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that Ohio Power’s rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. According to R.C. 4928.143(F), the Utility shall return to consumers earnings that the PUCO determines to be significantly excessive. OCC’s position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities’ rates in Ohio.

Third, OCC’s intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings. OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC’s intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a “real and substantial interest” according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where Ohio Power is seeking, through a request for waivers[[1]](#footnote-1), to postpone any potential refund to customers.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider the “extent to which the person’s interest is represented by existing parties.” While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio’s residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio confirmed OCC’s right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC’s interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.[[2]](#footnote-2)

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC’s Motion to Intervene.
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**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

 I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission this 3rd day of March 2015.

 */s/ Jodi Bair*\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Jodi Bair

 Assistant Consumers’ Counsel

**SERVICE LIST**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| William WrightChief, Public Utilities SectionAttorney General’s OfficePublic Utilities Commission of Ohio180 E. Broad St., 6th FloorColumbus, Ohio 43215William.wright@puc.state.oh.us | Steven T. NourseAEP Service Corporation1 Riverside Plaza, 29th FloorColumbus, Ohio 43215stnourse@aep.com |

1. Ohio Power has requested a waiver of Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-35-10 that would extend its May 15th SEET filing requirement until the PUCO and the Ohio Supreme Court issue orders on Ohio Power cases. OCC, in a contemporaneous filing is opposes that waiver. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. *See Ohio Consumers’ Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm*., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶¶13-20 (2006). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)