

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)
Energy Ohio, Inc., to Adjust Rider AU)
for 2017 Gas Grid Modernization Costs.) Case No. 18-837-GA-RDR

**APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.,
TO ADJUST RIDER-AU FOR 2017 GRID MODERNIZATION COSTS**

1. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) is an Ohio corporation engaged in the business of supplying gas distribution service in Adams, Brown, Butler, Clinton, Clermont, Hamilton, Montgomery, and Warren Counties in southwestern Ohio.

2. Duke Energy Ohio is a natural gas company within the meaning of 4905.03(A)(6), Revised Code, and, as such, is a public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission).

3. In this Application, the Company requests approval to adjust its advanced utility rider (Rider AU) to allow for recovery of 2017 costs for the deployment of its grid modernization and related systems. Rider AU was originally submitted for approval in Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR, *et al.*, (Gas Distribution Rate Case). Duke Energy Ohio also hereby requests such tariff approval and accounting authority as may be required for recovery.

4. The Company's Gas Distribution Rate Case, originally filed in July 2007, was resolved by a stipulation that provided, in part, for a process for filing deployment

plans for the installation of an automated gas meter reading system. The automated gas meter reading system was designed to employ the grid modernization communications technology. The stipulation also contained a method for recovering costs associated with the plans, which was designated Rider AU.¹

5. In June 2009, in Case No. 09-543-GE-UNC, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2008 for its deployment of grid modernization. This case was also resolved through a stipulation in November of 2009, and that stipulation was approved by the Commission in May 2010.²

6. In July 2010, in Case No. 10-867-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2009 for its deployment of grid modernization. Like the Company's Gas Distribution Rate Case and Case No. 09-543-GE-UNC, Case No. 10-867-GE-RDR was also resolved by means of a stipulation, which was approved by the Commission in March 2011.³

7. On October 27, 2010, in Case No.10-2326-GE-RDR, the Commission issued an entry requesting proposals to perform an audit that would include both an operational audit and an operational benefits assessment of Duke Energy Ohio's overall grid modernization implementation. The Commission subsequently selected MetaVu, Inc., (MetaVu) to conduct the audit. MetaVu's final audit report was filed with the Commission on June 30, 2011. That proceeding was resolved by a Stipulation and

¹ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for an Increase in Rates*, Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR, *et al.*, Stipulation and Recommendation (February 28, 2008); Opinion and Order, pg. 17 (May 28, 2008).

² *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio to Adjust and Set its Gas and Electric Recovery Rate for SmartGrid Deployment Under Rider AU and Rider DR-IM*, Case No. 09-543-GE-UNC, Stipulation and Recommendation (November 19, 2009); Opinion and Order (May 13, 2010).

³ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio to Adjust Rider DR-IM and Rider AU for 2009 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 10-867-GE-RDR, Stipulation and Recommendation (February 14, 2011); Opinion and Order (March 23, 2011).

Recommendation that was submitted to the Commission for its approval on February 24, 2012. The Stipulation and Recommendation was approved by the Commission on June 13, 2012.

8. In June 2012, in Case No. 12-1811-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted an application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2011 for its deployment of grid modernization. Like the prior ones, this case was also resolved by means of a stipulation, which was approved by the Commission in March 2013.⁴

9. In June 2012, in Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR, Duke Energy Ohio filed for an increase to its base gas rates. In this case, the gas smart grid capital investment as of March 31, 2012, was included in base rates. There was a partial settlement reached in the case, which settlement was approved by the Commission in November 2013.⁵

10. In June 2013, in Case No. 13-1141-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2012 for its deployment of grid modernization. This case was also resolved by means of a stipulation, which was approved by the Commission in April 2014.⁶

11. In June 2014, in Case No. 14-1051-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2013 for its

⁴ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider DR-IM and Rider AU for 2011 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 12-1811-GE-RDR, Stipulation and Recommendation (Feb. 20, 2013); Opinion and Order (March 27, 2013).

⁵ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for an Increase in its Natural Gas Distribution Rates*, Case No. 12-1685-GA-AIR, Stipulation and Recommendation (May 10, 2013); Opinion and Order (Nov. 13, 2013).

⁶ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider DR-IM and Rider AU for 2012 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 13-1141-GE-RDR, Stipulation and Recommendation (Jan. 10, 2014); Opinion and Order, pg. 18 (April 9, 2014).

deployment of grid modernization. A hearing was held in February 2015. The Commission issued its order in April 2015.⁷

12. In June 2015, in Case No. 15-0883-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2014 for its deployment of grid modernization. A hearing was held in January 2016. The Commission issued its order in March 2016.⁸

13. In April 2016, in Case No. 16-0794-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2015 for its deployment of grid modernization. Staff issued its Review and Recommendations in August 2016 and recommended that the Application be approved as filed. The Commission issued its order in September 2016.⁹

14. In March 2017, in Case No. 17-690-GE-RDR, Duke Energy Ohio submitted its application for approval of the costs it incurred during 2016 for its deployment of grid modernization. Staff issued its Review and Recommendations in July 2017. The Commission issued its order in October 2017. In February 2018, Duke Energy Ohio filed a motion to amend the rider to incorporate certain aspects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017. The Commission issued its order in March 2018, granting the Company's motion.¹⁰

⁷ *In the Matter of the Application of duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider DR-IM and Rider AU for 2013 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 14-1051-GE-RDR, Opinion and Order (April 8, 2015).

⁸ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider DR-IM and Rider AU for 2014 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 15-883-GE-RDR, Opinion and Order (March 31, 2016).

⁹ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider AU for 2015 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 16-794-GA-RDR, Staff's Review and Recommendations (Aug. 4, 2016); Finding and Order (Sept. 22, 2016).

¹⁰ *In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider AU for 2016 SmartGrid Costs*, Case No. 17-690-GA-RDR, Staff's Review and Recommendations (July 5, 2017); Finding and Order (Oct. 11, 2017); Motion to Amend (Feb. 20, 2018); Finding and Order (March 28, 2018).

15. Duke Energy Ohio hereby files its Application to adjust Rider AU to recover 2017 costs for the deployment of its grid modernization and related systems. In support of its Application, Duke Energy Ohio submits the testimony of Sarah E. Lawler. Duke Energy Ohio witness Lawler will provide details regarding the Company's expenditures and revenue requirement for grid modernization deployment for 2017, with supporting schedules for the Company's request, consistent with stipulations agreed to in prior cost recovery cases.

Conclusion

As discussed in the testimony filed in this case, the Company has worked to deploy its grid modernization and advanced technologies, to promote its programs, and to engage customers, in order to make the programs successful. The Company continues to provide additional functionality and efficiency to its system to benefit its customers and to provide safer, more reliable, affordable energy.

Additionally, as supported by the testimony filed herewith, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission approve its Application, subject to the terms outlined herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

/s/ Elizabeth H. Watts

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Deputy General Counsel

Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)(Counsel of Record)

Associate General Counsel

139 E. Fourth Street, 1303-Main

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Telephone: (513) 287-4320

Facsimile: (513)-287-4385

Rocco.DAscenzo@duke-energy.com

Elizabeth.Watts@duke-energy.com